

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,589 12/28/2001		12/28/2001	Stephen T. Kuehn	S16.12-0128	1702
22865	7590	01/12/2004	EXAMINER		
ALTERA L 6500 CITY V			ROANE, A	ROANE, AARON F	
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55344-7704	3739		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
.•	Advisory Action	10/034,589	KUEHN ET AL.							
	•	Examiner	Art Unit							
		Aaron Roane	3739							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
There final roondi	REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appendination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repict of the capplication of	ply to a cation in						
_	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]								
b) E) have be 37 CFF (b) abo	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). In tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions at 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THATTER OF THE ON Which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP se extension fee stension fee under (2) as set forth in						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.										
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:								
(2	a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);										
(0	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the						
(0	they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):								
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment						
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the						
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly						
7.🖂										
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:								
	Claim(s) allowed:									
	Claim(s) objected to:									
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.									
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by		, .						
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	ROY D'GIBS							

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendmentof being "integral" to claim 1 does not overcome the prior art. Additionally, a new search would be p[erformed in order to address the new issue of being "integral".

KA. 1/7/04